

**Ventura County Continuum of Care (VC CoC)**  
**2025 Ranking and Selection Criteria**  
**HUD Continuum of Care Program Competition**

The Ventura County Continuum of Care (VC CoC) will use the following process to rank all projects/applications in the 2025 HUD Continuum of Care Program Competition.

**Section I:** HUD CoC General Requirements and Policies

**Section II:** 2025 HUD CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Detail

**Section III:** Ventura County CoC Policy on Reallocation, Project Ranking, and Tiers

**Section IV:** Process and Criteria for Rating and Ranking Renewal Projects

**Section V:** Process and Criteria for Ranking New Projects

**Section VI:** Final Project Priority Listing and Notification to Applicants

**Section VII:** Appeal Process

## **Section I: HUD CoC General Requirements and Policies**

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) publishes the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) annually for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program. The NOFO establishes the available level of funds, identifies HUD national priorities, and describes the criteria and data needed in the competitive application.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct a local review process and to designate a Collaborative Applicant to submit requests for funds in the CoC Competition. HUD provisionally awards funds to CoCs based on the scoring of a CoC system-level application up to a maximum allocation established by an area pro-rata need. The CoC conducts a local process to determine needs within the system and to evaluate and select and prioritize project level applications to include in the annual application for funds.

## **Section II: FY 2025 HUD CoC Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Detail**

The FY 2025 Continuum of Care Competition NOFO was released on November 13, 2025, for the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program. Approximately \$3.918 billion is available for FY 2025. The VC CoC is eligible for an estimated \$3.75 million in annual renewal funding (ARD) and an estimated \$321,110 in planning grant funds with the potential to apply for new CoC bonus funding (\$1,284,442) and DV Bonus funding (\$642,221). HUD expects to make approximately 7,000 awards from the funds available under this NOFO. CoCs should direct resources towards outreach, intervention, and assistance that helps people regain self-sufficiency.

HUD continues to require Collaborative Applicants to rank all projects in two tiers. Tier 1 is set at 30 percent of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) at \$1,124,876. In order to promote balance and increase competition, no more than 30 percent of a CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) under this NOFO will fund Permanent Housing projects, including PH-PSH, and PH-RRH projects. Additionally, there is an ongoing emphasis on project performance. 24 CFR Part 578.7 (6) requires CoCs to 'monitor recipient and subrecipient performance, evaluate outcomes, and take action against poor performers. HUD encourages CoCs to reallocate under-performing projects to higher performing projects or to respond to community needs. The VC CoC responds to these factors by establishing policies, procedures, and criteria for reviewing and ranking each application for funding; for reallocation, and for selection of projects for inclusion in the CoC national competition. HUD also requires the CoC to meet requirements and guidelines specific to the annual NOFO.

- A. Threshold/ Eligibility Review:** The CoC is required to review all applications to verify eligibility for federal CoC funding. Applicants must meet HUD thresholds regarding eligibility to do business with the federal government; legal status; commitment to participation in HMIS and the Coordinated Entry System (CES); and fiscal capacity. Projects from applicants not meeting these requirements are ineligible to apply for HUD CoC funding.
- B. Ranking Requirements:** The CoC must assign a unique rank to each project that it intends to submit to HUD for funding except for the CoC planning grant. Ranking of renewal projects must incorporate scoring on project performance, system performance and effectiveness. Each CoC must comprehensively review both new and renewal projects within its geographic area, using CoC-approved scoring criteria and selection priorities, to determine the extent to which each project is still necessary and address the listed policy priorities. Funds for projects that are determined to be underperforming, obsolete, or ineffective should be reallocated to new projects that are based on proven or promising models.

- C. Renewals:** Renewal Applications (projects funded in FY 24): Providers may submit renewals for permanent housing. However, awards will be significantly limited compared to prior years. It is strongly advised that providers with PSH, RRH, and Joint TH-RRH consider submitting additional, new project applications for Transitional Housing or Supportive Services Only project types. Renewal PSH projects will be prioritized within the 30% cap and therefore, RRH and TH-RRH projects are very unlikely to receive funding.
- D. Transition Grants** To create a Transition Grant, the CoC must wholly eliminate one or more projects and use those funds to create the single, new transition grant [see section IV.D.1.I of this NOFO].

CoC's may submit Transition Grants for the following:

An application to fund a new CoC project through the reallocation process to transition an eligible CoC renewal project (including a Special NOFO project or DV Renewal project) from one program component to another eligible component over a 1-year period. Applicants are strongly encouraged to seek alternate funding sources for PSH projects to retain housing for currently subsidized households when proposing a transition from PSH or RRH to Transitional Housing.

- E. Reallocation & Bonus:** CoCs may use funds from part or whole existing grants to create new projects through reallocation or bonus funding. Reallocation is a process CoCs use to shift funds in whole or in part from existing eligible CoC renewal projects to create one or more new projects without decreasing the CoC's ARD. CoCs may only reallocate eligible renewal projects so long as the renewal project being reduced or eliminated has a current grant agreement with an expiration date in CY 2026. ***Bonus projects are typically awarded competitively at the national level but are also required to be ranked with the CoC's other renewal and new projects*** established by HUD in sections V.A.4.a and V.A.4.b of this NOFO.

CoCs may submit new project applications under the bonus process or reallocation process for the following project types:

- (1) SSO projects (Street outreach).
- (2) TH projects.
- (3) PH-PSH projects.
- (4) PH-RRH projects
- (5) Dedicated HMIS

- F. Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Renewal Projects (DV Renewal Projects)** are eligible renewal projects that were previously funded, in whole or in part, with DV Bonus funding or were at some point expanded using DV Bonus funding to continue serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and who qualify under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homelessness at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

*Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking New Projects (DV Bonus and DV Reallocation Projects).* A new project that is dedicated to serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and who qualify under the paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act. As described in section 2.b.(5) of the Appendix, survivors of human trafficking may also qualify as homeless under paragraph (4) of the homeless definition at 24 CFR 578.3 or section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act because they are often also victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, however a DV Bonus project may not exclusively serve people

fleeing or attempting to flee human trafficking. CoCs may submit new project applications under the DV bonus process or DV reallocation process for the following project types:

- (1) SSO projects (Street Outreach)
- (2) TH projects.
- (3) PH-RRH Projects

**G. Tiers & Priority Order:**

In the FY25 CoC Program Competition, Tier 1 is equal to 30 percent (\$1,124,876) of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). HUD will conditionally select project applications in Tier 1 from the highest scoring CoC application to the lowest scoring CoC application and according to the rank assigned by the CoC on the CoC Priority listing, provided the project applications pass both project eligibility and project quality threshold review, merit review, risk review and if applicable, project renewal threshold.

- Any competitively ranked project may be placed in Tier 1 according to the CoC's local rating and ranking process and based on local needs and priorities

Tier 2 is equal to 70 percent of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). HUD will evaluate project applications placed in Tier 2 for project eligibility and project quality threshold requirements and project renewal threshold requirements, if applicable; and HUD will determine funding using the CoC Application score as well as the CoC project ranking. Tier 1 will equal 30% of the CoC's ARD

Projects in Tier 2 are evaluated on a project-by-project level across the CoCs nationally. As a result, selection for funding projects in Tier 2 is more rigorous than in Tier 1.

**H. CoCs should consider the policy priorities established in the NOFO in conjunction with local priorities to determine the ranking of new projects created through reallocation and from CoC Bonus/DV Bonus funds, and renewal project requests.**

**HUD CoC FY25 Policy and Program Priorities**

- 1) End the crisis of homelessness on our streets – and direct resources towards outreach, intervention, and assistance that helps people regain self-sufficiency.
- 2) Prioritize Treatment and Recovery – to provide the treatment and services people need to recover and regain self-sufficiency including on-site behavioral health treatment, robust wraparound supportive services, and participation requirements.
- 3) Advance Public Safety – to work with First Responders as critical partners in engaging people into treatment and services and protecting vulnerable individuals experiencing homelessness.
- 4) Promote Self-Sufficiency – with projects that help lead to long-term economic independence for individuals and families to exit homelessness and prevent future returns to homelessness.
- 5) Improve Outcomes - to determine their effectiveness in reducing homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency.
- 6) Minimize Trauma- to encourage providers to provide trauma informed care and ensure participant safety in programs, especially for youth and survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

## **Ventura County Policy on Project Ranking and Tiering**

*(adopted and finalized on November 25, 2025 by VC CoC Board)*

### **Section III: Ventura County Policy on Reallocation, Project Ranking and Tiering**

#### **A. Policy Objectives:**

In developing our local policy governing project ranking, reallocation and tiering, Ventura County CoC's objectives are to align with the VC CoC mission, values and guiding principles:

- *Mission Statement:* The VC CoC Alliance is a collaborative group dedicated to promoting a safe, desirable and thriving community by ending homelessness in Ventura County.
- *Vision:* Homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring
- *Values:* We value the dignity of every human life, the well-being of the community and the power of the community to working together to solve community problems.
- *Guiding Principles:*
  - Collective Impact
  - Strengths-Based and Trauma-Informed Care
- Comply with HUD requirements.
  - Ending the Crisis of Homelessness on Our Streets
  - Prioritizing Treatment and Recovery
  - Advancing Public Safety
  - Promoting Self-Sufficiency
  - Improving Outcomes
  - Minimizing Trauma
- Preserve funding for high performing and effective projects.
- Fund programs that contribute positively to overall system performance.
- Shift investments from lower performing projects and ones which are at risk of losing HUD funding to new projects that help advance our community's goal of reducing homelessness and demonstrate effective approaches and best practices.

#### **Rationale for Preliminary Rankings**

- Closely followed HUD's priorities and guidelines for ranking projects.
- Positive contribution to System Level Performance will be heavily weighted when ranking projects including exits to permanent housing and housing retention.
- Examine under-performing projects and under-utilized projects and consider reallocation.
- Projects/agencies engagement in Continuum of Care efforts and participation in Coordinated Entry will be weighted when ranking projects.
- Projects that serve chronically homeless (CH) are ranked higher on the list than programs without dedicated CH beds. Programs that target chronically homeless for non-dedicated beds as they became available are ranked higher on the list.
- Cost efficiency and utilization will be considered when ranking projects within the project component

## **B. Project Review and Ranking Policy:**

The Ventura County CoC will invite submissions for new and renewal projects and will conduct a review and ranking following the procedures stated in Sections VI.

### **i. CoC Renewal/Reallocation Projects**

- renewal PH
- renewal Safe Haven
- renewal DV
- renewal HMIS

### **ii. Transition Projects**

- TH
- SSO

### **iii. New Projects:**

- TH
- SSO

### **ii. DV Bonus Projects;**

### **iii. DV Reallocation Projects;**

Within each type, projects will be scored using a score system specific to that program type and placed within their ranked order, with renewal PSH in the first group (ordered by score). Renewal projects scoring less than 80 points will be considered for reallocation or elimination. If the CoC decides to rank and submit a project scoring below 80 points, it may be ranked in Tier 2. The CoC goal is to preserve funding for the entire community and will make ranking decisions to submit a competitive application and not put community-wide funding at risk.

## **C. Tiering Policy:**

Once the rank order of projects has been determined the projects outside of the top 30% will fall into Tier 2 (70% of ARD).

**Projects Straddling Tiers.** If a project application straddles the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding line, HUD will conditionally select the project up to the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1. Using selection criteria in section V.D.3.b above, HUD may fund the Tier 2 portion of the project. If HUD does not fund the Tier 2 portion of the project, HUD may award the project at the reduced amount based on the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1, provided the project is still feasible with the reduced funding (e.g., is able to continue serving homeless program participants effectively).

As HMIS and Coordinated Entry are HUD mandated requirements in order to receive Continuum of Care funding, HUD strongly recommends that these projects remain top priorities in Tier 1 in order to secure and maintain funding for this required activity.

The CoC Planning Grant is not ranked per HUD's guidance.

## **Section IV: Process for Rating and Ranking Renewal Projects**

### **A: Rating and Ranking Process:**

All applications are due in e-snaps by December 15, 2025.

All renewal projects will be ranked using an objective scoring system approved by the VC CoC Board. VC CoC Staff will use data provided through the application process to calculate a score for each renewal and use the results to develop the Project Priority Listing for review and approval by the VC CoC Board as recommended through the VC CoC Data and Performance Committee.

The application process is intended to assess agency's capacity to administer CoC projects while complying with HUD requirements. Additionally, the application helps staff and the CoC Committees review the following:

1. Cost effectiveness
2. Leveraging mainstream programs (e.g. Medicaid and SSI)
3. System Performance Data (project contribution)
4. Annual Performance Report (APR) returns to homelessness performance measure, employment income performance measure, supportive services participation requirements
5. Type of Population served
6. Projects require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use disorder treatment) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) by attaching supportive service agreements (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent).
7. Verification of Immigration Status Participation
8. Substance Use treatment availability
9. Financial commitment (25% match)
10. CoC participation/VC CoC Partner Agency Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

VC CoC Staff and VC CoC Data and Performance Committee use data provided through the application process, HMIS reports (Annual Performance Reports and CoC System Performance Data) analysis to develop the Project Priority Listing for review and approval by the VC CoC Board. This process is conducted in open public meetings and all materials are posted on the VC CoC website: [www.venturacoc.org](http://www.venturacoc.org)

### **B. Scoring Criteria for Renewal Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH):**

The scoring system will have a maximum of 110 points with points for project, supportive service requirements, the availability of treatment and recovery services and system performance and threshold factors. Permanent Supportive Housing projects must be designed to serve elderly individuals and/or individuals with physical disability/impairment (not including substance use disorder) and require participation in supportive services. Rapid Rehousing projects must demonstrate that the project applicant has previously operated a project with higher-than-average outcomes on increasing employment income and will require participation in supportive services. Data to assess both performance and threshold criteria will be obtained from the information submitted by the applicants in their LOI response. System Performance Data will be pulled from HMIS; however, victim service providers must submit data generated from a comparable database for review.

The performance measures will be based on those established by HUD, VC CoC local performance targets and tracked through HMIS data:

- at least 85 percent of project participants either remained in permanent housing or exited to another permanent housing location.

- at least 55 percent of project participant increased their income from sources other than employment in an operating year.
- at least <5% Returns to Homelessness within the prior grant term of 12 months

Some renewing projects will not have a full year of performance data to be considered in the review and rank process because they have not yet been operational for a full year. These projects will be reviewed on the data they have available but will not be penalized for not serving the full number of participants. If a new project does not have enough performance data to review, they will be reviewed for administrative and program compliance and be ranked at the bottom of Tier 1. Their overall score will place them in the bottom of the group of PSH and RRH renewals but they will be placed in Tier 1 because PH renewals as a group will be the first on the Project Priority List. If a project is poorly performing and lacks capacity, the committee may recommend the project for reallocation.

### **C. Scoring Criteria for Renewal Safe Haven:**

The scoring system will have a maximum of 110 points with points for project and system performance, supportive service requirements, the availability of treatment and recovery services and threshold factors. Data to assess both performance and threshold criteria will be obtained from the information submitted by the applicants in their application. System Performance Data will be pulled from HMIS.

The performance measures will be based on those established by HUD, VC CoC local performance targets and tracked through HMIS data:

- at least 80 percent of project participants either exit to permanent housing, or a temporary placement progressing towards permanent housing.
- at least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in an operating year.
- Fewer than 5% returns to homelessness at exit from SH program.
- at least 90 percent of project participants obtained mainstream benefits, as eligible.
- Average length of stay <180 days.

### **D. Scoring Criteria for New Projects:**

The scoring system will have a maximum of 110 points with points for projects, system performance, supportive service requirements, the availability of treatment services and threshold factors.

The performance measures will be based on those established by HUD, VC CoC local performance targets and tracked through HMIS data for Transitional Housing Projects:

- at least 80 percent of project participants either exit to permanent housing, or a temporary placement progressing towards permanent housing.
- at least 55 percent of project participant increased their total income in an operating year and demonstrated ways that participants will be assisted with employment to increase earned income
- Fewer than 5% returns to homelessness at exit from TH program
- Average length of stay <180 days or detailed description on how provider will reduce length of stay

The performance measures will be based on those established by HUD, VC CoC local performance targets and tracked through HMIS data for Supportive Service Only Street Outreach Projects:

- Exits to positive destinations is more than 40%



- at least 55 percent of project participant increased their total income in an operating year and demonstrated ways that participants will be assisted with employment to increase earned income

#### **E. HMIS Renewal:**

Consistent with previous CoC applications, HMIS renewals will be assessed for performance and spending in alignment with HUD requirements. As noted in Section II, the HMIS renewal may be placed in Tier 1.

### **Section V: Process and Criteria for Ranking New Projects**

The VC CoC Board will examine recommendations from the VC CoC Data and Performance Committee and VC CoC staff to determine the amount of funding available for reallocation. New CoC bonus funds and any reallocated funds will be awarded through a competitive HUD process.

Domestic Violence bonus funding is eligible for the following project types that serve individuals and families experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking: PH-RRH, TH, and CE-SSO. Applications will be scored the same as other new projects with additional points for projects that have a plan for promoting safety for the population served. Victim Service Provider applicants will provide data from a comparable database to demonstrate need, capacity and performance.

Priority will be given to projects that 1) meet Ventura County's CoC priorities; and 2) are most competitive in evaluating project capacity and past performance. In addition, projects must meet HUD's threshold and quality requirements. The NOFA will require applicants to submit an application through HUD e-snaps which may include project narrative, applicant capacity and financial information sufficient to assess all of these factors. To evaluate whether projects meet the HUD threshold, quality standards, and performance standards, the projects will be reviewed by the VC CoC Data and Performance Committee.

To evaluate project quality and fit with Ventura County objectives and develop a ranked order, the CoC will convene an unbiased project review meeting to review each new project. Projects will be scored based on a 110-point system (Attachment A-D). VC CoC Staff will then hold one meeting to review and score applications and arrive at a proposed final ranking for all projects. The panel may also recommend that projects should either increase or decrease their funding request to maximize the use of available reallocated or bonus funds.

The final ranking of new projects as determined will be discussed and approved by the VC CoC Board on December 19, 2025, to determine the order on the Project Priority Listing.

### **Section VI: Final Project Priority List and Notification to Applicants**

Once the rating and ranking processes for new and renewal applicants are complete, VC CoC staff will integrate the results of the scoring/ranking processes and create the final proposed Project Priority Listing for review by the VC CoC Board. This proposed list can include recommendations to adjust the placement of projects in Tier 2 in order to maximize the total funding award for Ventura County. Applicants will be notified by December 17, 2025 of the VC CoC Data Committee's recommended priority project ranking.

## **Section VII: Appeal Process**

Applicants may appeal any of the following decisions of the VC CoC:

- 1) Placement of project into Tier 2
- 2) Reduction in funding
- 3) Project not included in final FY25 VC CoC consolidated application.

Appeals may be made on the following basis:

- Inaccuracy in information provided to the Review and Rank Panel (by entities other than the applicant) resulting in a reduced score; or
- A failure to follow the Review and Rank process resulting in a reduced score

NOTE: Appeals based on policy considerations, funding priorities, or other subjective criteria will not be considered and are not eligible for technical appeal.

**Appeals must be submitted in writing via email to the VC CoC Board by noon on December 18, 2025 to [VenturaCoC@venturacounty.gov](mailto:VenturaCoC@venturacounty.gov).** Appeals will be reviewed and considered by the VC CoC Board on December 19, 2025 for the final priority ranking. 24 CFR 578.35 provides the HUD appeal process options for applicants with denied or decreased funding.

## Attachment A

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) Performance Measures - Max. 110 Points with 40 points towards System Performance detailed below.

- at least 85 percent of project participants either remained in permanent housing or exited to another PH (up to 10 points)
- at least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year (up to 10 points).
- fewer than 5% returns to homelessness within a year of exiting from PH/RRH program (up to 20 points).

Projects can receive an additional 10 points for maximizing utilization of their program vacancies with an average of no less than 95% utilization in the previous 4 quarters. HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element, as indicated in the chart below.

Underperforming projects will be discussed in detail with VC CoC Data and Performance Committee and recommendations will be made for reallocation to the VC CoC Board when appropriate.

### Permanent Housing (PSH/RRH) Projects:

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Threshold Renewal Points	Source Document	Max Points	Total Scored
<b>Compliance with Grants and Financial Management</b>	Annual Performance Report, HUD monitoring, eLOCCS, and close-out certificates submitted timely (up to <b>3 pts</b> )  Projects will provide relevant information on managing federal grants (up to <b>2pts</b> )  100% expenditure of grant funds (up to <b>5 pts</b> )  HUD findings within the past 3 years (- <b>2 pts</b> )	<b>10</b>	
<b>Supportive Services</b>	Supportive services will be required for participation (form must be attached) (up to <b>4 pts</b> )	<b>10</b>	

	<p>All participants are assessed regularly for workforce development needs (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Documentation (intake packets, Participation Agreements, service documents, staffing plan, consistent with and local prioritization standards (<i>serving the most vulnerable</i>), filling vacancies through coordinated entry (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not operate drug injection sites or unsafe consumptions sites “knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, permit the use of distribution of illicit drugs or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction” (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Inconsistency with documents, services and staff plan (<b>-2pts</b>)</p> <p>Missing Documentation (<b>-2 pts</b>)</p>		
<b>Eligible Populations</b>	<p>For PSH, serve older adults 62 and over and/or individuals with a physical disability/impairment or a developmental disability (24 CFR 582.5) not including substance use disorder. (<b>PSH up to 5 pts</b>)</p> <p>For RRH, provide tenant-based rental assistance to help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 3 months or up to 24 months (<b>RRH up to 5 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination or conducts activities that rely on or otherwise use a definition of “sex</p>	<b>10</b>	

	other than as binary in humans” (up to <b>5 pts</b> )		
<b>CoC participation, HEARTH compliance</b>	Attendance records or commitment for new partners to participate in CoC meetings (up to <b>2pts</b> )  Compliance with CoC Partner MOU (up to <b>3 pts</b> )	<b>5</b>	
<b>Project Performance</b>	Bed Utilization (95%+) (up to <b>10 points</b> ),  HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element (up to <b>10 points</b> )	<b>20</b>	
<b>System Level Performance</b>	<5% Returns to Homelessness within the prior grant term of 12 months (up to <b>20 points</b> ),  55% of participants Increase Total Income and demonstrate ways in assisting participants with employment to increase earned income (up to <b>10 points</b> ),  85% of participants either maintained their permanent housing or had successful exits to Permanent Housing (up to <b>10 points</b> )	<b>40</b>	
<b>Policy Initiative Preference/ Service Requirements</b>	Substance Use Treatment Availability on site ( <b>5 pts</b> )  (zero points will be awarded if not complying or agreeing to HUD preference)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Cost Effectiveness - Successful housing outcomes</b>	Cost per successful housing outcome – within project type. This calculation will include total cost of supportive services (staffing) and rental assistance/subsidy divided by the number of households served (up to <b>10 points</b> )	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>110</b>	

### Attachment B

The following will be applied for the performance measures scored for Safe Haven (SH) Projects renewals.  
SH Performance Measures - Max. 110 Points with 40 points towards System Performance

- at least 80 percent of project participants exit to a permanent housing or other positive destination (up to 10 points).
- at least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year (up to 5 points).
- at least 90 percent of project participants obtained or maintained mainstream benefits (up to 5 points).
- fewer than 5% returns to homelessness within a year of exiting from SH program (up to 10 points).
- Average length of stay <180 days (up to 10 points)

Projects can receive an additional 10 points for maximizing utilization of their program vacancies with an average of no less than 95% utilization in the previous 4 quarters. HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element.

Underperforming projects will be discussed in detail with VC CoC Data and Performance Committee and recommendations will be made for reallocation to the VC CoC Board when appropriate.

**Safe Haven (SH) Projects: Applicant:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Project:** \_\_\_\_\_

Threshold Renewal Points	Source Document	Max Points	Total Scored
<b>Compliance with Grants and Financial Management</b>	<p>Applicant has the appropriate accreditation and licensure to provide the services (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Applicant demonstrate experience using and leveraging federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Projects will provide relevant information on managing federal grants (up to <b>2pts</b>)</p> <p>95% or greater expenditure of grant funds, timely drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, sound financial management structure (up to <b>4 pts</b>)</p> <p>HUD findings within the past 3 years (- <b>2 pts</b>)</p>	<b>10</b>	

<b>Supportive Services</b> <b>** (These are threshold questions that HUD will use when reviewing new project applications. If awarded, all these criteria will be required by HUD)**</b>	<p>Supportive services (case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) will be required for participation (SS form must be attached) (up to 3 <b>pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project demonstrates supportive services as necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency, and will conduct annual assessments (up to <b>1pt</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not engage with supportive services (up to <b>1 pt</b>)</p> <p>All participants will be assessed regularly for workforce development needs (<b>up to 2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Documentation (intake packets, Participation Agreements, service documents, staffing plan, (<b>up to 2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not operate drug injection sites or unsafe consumption sites “knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, permit the use of distribution of illicit drugs or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction” (up to <b>1pt</b>)</p> <p>Unwillingness to require supportive services (<b>-2pts</b> from score)</p> <p>Inconsistency with documents, services and staff plan ( <b>-2pts</b>)</p>	<b>10</b>	

	Missing Documentation <b>(-2 pts)</b>		
<b>Eligible Populations</b>	<p>Experience working with proposed population and in providing services similar to those proposed in the application <b>(up to 5 pts)</b></p> <p>Will not engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination or conducts activities that rely on or otherwise use a definition of “sex other than as binary in humans” (up to <b>2.5 pt</b>)</p>	<b>7.5</b>	
<b>CoC participation, HEARTH compliance</b>	<p>Attendance records or commitment for new partners to participate in CoC meetings (up to <b>2pts</b>)</p> <p>Compliance with CoC Partner MOU (up to <b>3 pts</b>)</p> <p>The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP (up to <b>2.5pts</b>)</p>	<b>7.5</b>	
<b>Project Performance</b>	<p>Bed Utilization (95%+) (up to <b>10 points</b>),</p> <p>HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element (up to <b>10 points</b>)</p>	<b>20</b>	
<b>System Level Performance</b>	<p>At least 80 percent of project participants exit to a permanent housing or other positive destination (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>At least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year and</p>	<b>40</b>	



	<p>demonstrate ways that participants will be assisted with employment to increase earned income (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>Fewer than 5% returns to homelessness at exit from TH program (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>Average length of stay &lt;180 days (up to <b>10 pts</b>) or detailed description on how provider will reduce length of stay</p>		
<b>Policy Initiative Preference/ Service Requirements</b>	<p>Substance Use Treatment Availability on site (<b>5 pts</b>)</p> <p>(zero points will be awarded if not complying or agreeing to HUD preference)</p>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Cost Effectiveness - Successful housing outcomes</b>	<p>Cost per successful housing outcome – within project type. This calculation will include total cost of supportive services (staffing) and operating expenses divided by the number of households served (up to <b>10 points</b>)</p>	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>110</b>	

## Attachment C

The following will be applied for the performance measures scored for Transitional Housing (TH). Performance Measures - Max. 110 Points with 40 points towards System Performance

- at least 80 percent of project participants exit to a permanent housing or other positive destination (up to 10 points).
- at least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year (up to 10 points).
- fewer than 5% returns to homelessness from a TH program (up to 10 points).
- Average length of stay <180 days (up to 10 points)

Projects can receive an additional 5 points for maximizing utilization of their program vacancies with an average of no less than 95% utilization in the previous 4 quarters. HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element. Projects Transitioning from PSH to TH can receive an additional 5 points.

Underperforming projects will be discussed in detail with VC CoC Data and Performance Committee and recommendations will be made for reallocation to the VC CoC Board when appropriate.

### (New, and Transition Projects)

#### Transitional Housing (TH) Projects:

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Threshold Renewal Points	Source Document	Max Points	Total Scored
<b>Compliance with Grants and Financial Management</b>	Applicant has the appropriate accreditation and licensure to provide the services (up to <b>2 pts</b> )  Applicant demonstrate experience using and leveraging federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding (up to <b>2 pts</b> )  Projects will provide relevant information on managing federal grants (up to <b>2pts</b> )  100% expenditure of grant funds, timely drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, sound financial management structure (up to <b>4 pts</b> )	<b>10</b>	

	HUD findings within the past 3 years (-2 pts)		
<b>Supportive Services</b> <b>** (These are threshold questions that HUD will use when reviewing new project applications. If awarded, all these criteria will be required by HUD)**</b>	<p>Project will provide 40 hours of customized services. Project includes a robust plan to offer work, volunteer, and service opportunities for this requirement (up to <b>10 pts</b>)</p> <p>Supportive services (case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) will be required for participation (SS form must be attached) (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project demonstrates supportive services as necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency, and will conduct annual assessments (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not engage with supportive services (up to <b>1 pt</b>)</p> <p>All participants will be assessed regularly for workforce development needs (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Documentation (intake packets, Participation Agreements, service documents, staffing plan (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not operate drug injection sites or unsafe consumptions sites “knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, permit the use of distribution of illicit drugs or conduct any of these activities under</p>	<b>20</b>	

	<p>the pretext of “harm reduction” (up to <b>1 pt</b>)</p> <p>Unwillingness to require supportive services (-<b>2pts</b> from score)</p> <p>Inconsistency with documents, services and staff plan (-<b>2pts</b>)</p> <p>Missing Documentation (-<b>2 pts</b>)</p>		
<b>Eligible Populations</b>	<p>Experience working with proposed homeless population, such as families, youth, veterans, elderly, or unsheltered individuals, and in providing supportive services similar to that proposed in the application (up to <b>5 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination or conducts activities that rely on or otherwise use a definition of “sex other than as binary in humans” (up to <b>2.5 pt</b>)</p>	<b>7.5</b>	
<b>CoC participation, HEARTH compliance</b>	<p>Attendance records or commitment for new partners to participate in CoC meetings (up to <b>2pts</b>)</p> <p>Compliance with CoC Partner MOU (up to <b>3 pts</b>)</p> <p>The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP (up to <b>2.5pts</b>)</p>	<b>7.5</b>	
<b>Project Performance</b>	Bed Utilization (95%+) (up to <b>5 points</b> ),	<b>10</b>	

	HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element (up to <b>5 points</b> )		
<b>System Level Performance</b>	<p>At least 80 percent of project participants exit to a permanent housing or other positive destination (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>At least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year and demonstrate ways that participants will be assisted with employment to increase earned income (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>Fewer than 5% returns to homelessness at exit from TH program (up to <b>10 pts</b>).</p> <p>Average length of stay &lt;180 days (up to <b>10 pts</b>) or detailed description on how provider will reduce length of stay</p>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Policy Initiative Preference/ Service Requirements</b>	Substance Use Treatment Availability on site ( <b>5 pts</b> ) (zero points will be awarded if not complying or agreeing to HUD preference)	<b>5</b>	
<b>Cost Effectiveness - Successful housing outcomes</b>	Cost per successful housing outcome – within project type. This calculation will include total cost of supportive services (staffing) and operating expenses divided by the number of households served (up to <b>10 points</b> )	<b>10</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>110</b>	

## Attachment D

The following will be applied for the performance measures scored for Supportive Services Only (Street Outreach). Performance Measures - Max. 110 Points with 20 points towards System Performance.

- Exits to positive destinations is more than 40% (up to **15 points**),
- At least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year (up to **10 points**)

### Supportive Services Only (SSO) Street Outreach Projects:

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Threshold Renewal Points	Source Document	Max Points	Total Scored
<b>Compliance with Grants and Financial Management</b>	<p>Applicant has the appropriate accreditation and licensure to provide the services (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Applicant demonstrates experience using and leveraging federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Projects will provide relevant information on managing federal grants (up to <b>2pts</b>)</p> <p>100% expenditure of grant funds, timely drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, sound financial management structure (up to <b>4 pts</b>)</p> <p>HUD findings within the past 3 years (-2 pts)</p>	<b>10</b>	
<b>Supportive Services</b> <b>** (These are threshold questions that HUD will use when reviewing new project applications. If awarded, all these criteria will be required by HUD)**</b>	Proposed project has a history and plan to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment	<b>40</b>	

	<p>programs, reunification, TH or ILP (up to <b>15 pts</b>)</p> <p>Supportive services (case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) will be required for participation (SS form must be attached) (up to <b>15 pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project demonstrates supportive services as necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness and increasing self-sufficiency including earned income, and will conduct annual assessments (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not engage with supportive services (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Proposed project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources (mainstream health, social, employment programs (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Documentation (intake packets, Participation Agreements, service documents, staffing plan, (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p> <p>Will not operate drug injection sites or unsafe consumptions sites “knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, permit the use of distribution of illicit drugs or conduct any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction” (up to <b>2 pts</b>)</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>Unwillingness to require supportive services (-2pts from score)</p> <p>Inconsistency with documents, services and staff plan (-2pts)</p> <p>Missing Documentation (-2pts)</p>		
<b>Eligible Populations</b>	<p>Experience working with proposed population and in providing services similar to that proposed in the application (up to 2.5 pts)</p> <p>Will not engage in racial preferences or other forms of illegal discrimination or conducts activities that rely on or otherwise use a definition of “sex other than as binary in humans” (up to 2.5 pt)</p>	<b>5</b>	
<b>CoC participation, HEARTH compliance</b>	<p>Attendance records or commitment for new partners to participate in CoC meetings (up to 1 pt)</p> <p>Compliance with CoC Partner MOU (up to 1 pt)</p> <p>The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP (up to 3 pts)</p>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Project Performance</b>	<p>HMIS Data Quality should reflect no more than 10% of any missing data element and how applicant will ensure Current Living Situation is captured in HMIS at every contact (up to 20 points)</p>	<b>20</b>	
<b>System Level Performance</b>	<p>Exits to positive destinations is more than 40% (up to 15 pts),</p>	<b>20</b>	



	At least 55 percent of project participants increased their total income in a given operating year and demonstrate how participants will be assisted with employment to increase earned income (up to <b>5 pts</b> )		
<b>Policy Initiative Preference/ Service Requirements **</b> <b>This is a HUD threshold requirement.</b>	Proposed applicant has a history and provides a detailed plan of how they will partner with first responders and law enforcement. <b>(up to 5 pts)</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Cost Effectiveness - Successful housing outcomes</b>	Cost per successful housing outcome – within project type. This calculation will include total cost of supportive services street outreach (staffing) and eligible operations divided by the number of people served (up to <b>5 pts</b> )	<b>5</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>110</b>	